

ORDINANCE NO. 6333

The Evelyn Cheatham Effective IOLERO Ordinance an Ordinance of the County of Sonoma, State of California, Repealing and Replacing Article XXVII, Independent Office of Law Enforcement Review and Outreach (IOLERO), Community Advisory Council (CAC), of Chapter 2, Administration, of the Sonoma County Code

The People of the County of Sonoma do hereby ordain as follows:

Section 1. Article XXVII of Chapter 2 of the Sonoma County Code is hereby repealed in its entirety and replaced with the following:

“Article XXVII. Independent Office of Law Enforcement Review and Outreach (IOLERO).

Sec. 2-392. – Independent office of law enforcement review and outreach established.

- (a) County sheriffs lead agencies of law enforcement officers that are vested with extraordinary authority, and the powers to detain, search, arrest, and use deadly force. These officers are also responsible for the safety and welfare of the more than 75,000 incarcerated individuals in California’s jail system. Misuse of these authorities can lead to grave constitutional violations, harms to liberty and the inherent sanctity of human life, and significant public unrest.
- (b) While sheriffs are independently elected officials, boards of supervisors have the authority to supervise these officials and investigate the performance of their duties and have an obligation to ensure sheriffs and their departments uphold and respect people’s constitutional rights.
- (c) Meaningful independent oversight and monitoring of sheriffs’ departments increases government accountability and transparency, enhances public safety, and builds community trust in law enforcement. Such oversight must have the authority and independence necessary to conduct credible and thorough investigations.
- (d) The board of supervisors ("board") established the Independent Office of Law Enforcement Review and Outreach (hereinafter "IOLERO"), by Resolution on August 18, 2015, pursuant to its authority under California law, including Government Code sections 31000.1 and 25303, with the following mission:
 - 1) To provide an objective, independent and appropriate review and audit of law enforcement administrative investigations of employees, which may include allegations of misconduct, by the Sonoma County Office of the Sheriff-Coroner (hereinafter, "sheriff-coroner"); to provide an alternate site for members of the

- public to file complaints against employees of law enforcement agencies, including the sheriff's office;
- 2) To provide independent investigations of employees of the sheriff-coroner where an investigation by that office is found by IOLERO to be incomplete or deficient in some way;
 - 3) To propose thoughtful policy recommendations to the sheriff-coroner;
 - 4) To increase transparency of law enforcement operations, training, policies and procedures; and
 - 5) To conduct outreach to and engage the communities of Sonoma County so as to foster a culture of accountability and communication between the community and the sheriff-coroner while improving community relations and enhancing public confidence in policing and corrections services provided by the sheriff-coroner.
- (e) As part of the board of supervisor's duty to supervise the official conduct of the sheriff under state law, IOLERO was created by the board of supervisors. IOLERO is intended to promote the common interest of the board of supervisors and the sheriff in effective and lawful policing and corrections, and in complete, unbiased administrative investigations, and to facilitate the board of supervisors' supervisory responsibility without interfering with the sheriff's criminal investigative functions.

Sec. 2-393. – Appointment and qualifications of director and staff.

- (a) The director of IOLERO shall be appointed by the board of supervisors. The director shall be appointed for a term of 3 years, and shall be removed prior to that time only for cause and upon a vote of at least 4 out of 5 supervisors in favor of removal.
- (b) The director shall be an employee of the county of Sonoma. The terms and conditions of employment of the director shall be set by the board, consistent with this ordinance, and shall be specified in a personal services agreement.
- (c) The director shall be an attorney licensed to practice law and shall be qualified as a certified practitioner of oversight by the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement at the time of their employment, or within a reasonable time after hiring.
- (d) The director may assign personnel, as allocated by the board, and utilize equipment and supplies as necessary to perform IOLERO's duties. All personnel shall be employed by the county of Sonoma. The director also may contract with outside specialists for the provision of discrete services related to fulfilling IOLERO's missions, as needed.

Sec. 2-394. – Powers and duties of independent office of law enforcement review and outreach, and corresponding duties of the sheriff-coroner.

- (a) IOLERO, through its director, shall perform its powers and duties subject to all applicable statutory and constitutional requirements of confidentiality and privilege.
- (b) IOLERO's powers and duties shall include, consistent with existing law, the following which shall be exercised at the discretion of the director, subject to adequate staffing and resources to support them:
- 1) Receive and review citizen complaints, and forward them to the sheriff-coroner for review and investigation. IOLERO is an office specifically designated to receive complaints by members of the public against personnel of the sheriff-coroner pursuant to its procedures established under Penal Code § 832.5;
 - 2) Review, audit and analyze administrative and public complaint investigations in mutual coordination and cooperation with the sheriff-coroner; the complaint investigations subject to such automatic review, audit, and analysis, shall include:
 - i. All complaints filed with IOLERO, regardless of the nature of the allegations included in that complaint;
 - ii. All complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that involve issues of whether uses of force violate law or policy;
 - iii. All complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that involve a possible violation of the U.S. or state constitutional rights of individuals;
 - iv. All complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that involve issues of bias by an employee in policing or corrections;
 - v. All complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that involve issues of sexual harassment or sexual assault by an employee;
 - vi. All complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that involve issues of dishonesty; and
 - vii. Every incident of force used by a sheriff's deputy regardless of whether a complaint is filed with IOLERO or the sheriff-coroner; and
 - viii. Every case where a civil lawsuit is filed against the sheriff's office related to the use of force regardless of whether a complaint is filed with IOLERO or the sheriff-coroner; and
 - ix. All racial profiling data collected by the sheriff's office in compliance with the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 or any successor legislation;
 - x. Any other complaints or investigations or analyses of incidents that become a matter of media interest.
 - 3) Act as a receiving and investigative agency for whistleblower complaints involving the sheriff-coroner. For the purposes of these complaints, all statewide legal protections pursuant to California Labor Code sections 1102.5, 1106 et. seq., including confidentiality of the whistleblower and prohibition against retaliation, shall apply. Further, any whistleblower complaints received or investigated by IOLERO shall not need to be reported by IOLERO to the sheriff-coroner, including the Internal Affairs Division.

- 4) Make discipline recommendations, as appropriate, for officers subject to IOLERO investigations.
- 5) As part of the process of review, audit and analysis, IOLERO may, among other things:
 - i. Directly access and independently review any and all sources of investigative evidence to ensure that the investigation is complete and all material evidence has been secured and analyzed by investigators in reaching their investigative findings;
 - ii. Directly receive all prior complaints for the involved deputy , previous investigation files (including *Brady* investigations) and the record of discipline for each complaint;
 - iii. Directly access and review all body worn camera videos and be authorized to post every body worn camera video where force was used on IOLERO's website. Public posting shall be determined on a case by case basis to the extent allowed by law, in consideration of victim privacy rights and active investigations;
 - iv. Where the director deems appropriate, directly contact complainants and witnesses to ensure the completeness and fairness of the investigation;
 - v. Where the director deems appropriate, directly contact custodians of evidence held by third parties to ensure adequate efforts to secure such evidence by investigators;
 - vi. Where the director deems appropriate, request supplemental investigation of matters relevant to the investigation that have not been adequately reviewed or analyzed, in the opinion of the director;
 - vii. Where, in the opinion of the director, the investigation of a complaint or incident by the sheriff-coroner is incomplete or otherwise deficient, conduct an independent investigation of the matter, to the extent deemed necessary by the director;
 - viii. Where an investigation involves an incident resulting in the death of a person in custody of the sheriff-coroner or results from the actions of an employee, conduct an independent investigation of the matter; and
 - ix. Independently subpoena records or testimony, as the director deems appropriate, to complete an adequate investigation. Among other sources of legal authority, such subpoena power is delegated from that held by the board of supervisors, to be used at the discretion of the director.
- 6) Assess and make periodic recommendations, as the director deems appropriate, regarding policies, procedures, strategies, training, and practices based on information gathered in the review process and/or data trends;
- 7) Advise if investigations appear incomplete, biased or otherwise deficient and recommend further review as deemed necessary; when warranted, propose independent recommendations or determinations regarding investigations, which

recommendations may be made public on a summary level without personally identifying information;

- 8) Track, analyze and advise on legislative actions and law enforcement audit trends; make recommendations to the county for legislative platforms, as the director deems appropriate;
- 9) Prepare annual report to the board of supervisor which includes statistical information, analysis of trends, policy and procedure recommendations; prepare ad hoc reports as the director deems appropriate; and
- 10) Conduct comprehensive outreach to the community including schools, community based organizations, business and civic groups, which may include: promoting and facilitating communications between the community and law enforcement, educating the community on law enforcement practices, policies, strategies, incident trends and challenges using appropriate methods, such as public presentations and community forums, providing feedback from the community back to department leaders and elected officials, handling media relations concerning matters related to IOLERO and its scope of duties;
- 11) Staff and support at least monthly meetings of a community advisory council to serve as a bridge between law enforcement, IOLERO, and various communities of the County, as set forth more specifically elsewhere in this ordinance. While IOLERO shall provide staffing and support for the CAC, IOLERO and the CAC shall function as independent bodies, working in a cooperative and collaborative manner; and
- 12) Perform related services as the director deems appropriate.

(c) IOLERO shall not be authorized to:

- 1) Interfere with the performance of the powers and duties of the sheriff-coroner as prohibited by law;
- 2) Disclose any confidential and/or privileged information to anyone not authorized to receive it, as prohibited by law;
- 3) Decide policies, direct activities, or impose discipline on other county departments, officers and employees;

(d) IOLERO and the sheriff-coroner shall create written protocols that further define and specify the scope and process providing for IOLERO's receipt, review, processing, and audit of complaints and investigations in a mutually coordinated and cooperative manner.

(e) The sheriff-coroner shall cooperate fully with IOLERO by providing direct, unfettered access to information of the Sheriff's Office, in order to facilitate IOLERO's receipt, review and audit of complaints and investigations; IOLERO's independent investigation of incidents; as well as IOLERO's review of policies, practices, and training. Among the

sources of information to which the sheriff-coroner shall provide such access to IOLERO are the following:

- 1) Any database or other computer application, or physical files, containing incident reports, dispatch records, or records of responses to law enforcement calls for service;
 - 2) Any database or other computer application, or physical files, containing employee personnel records, investigations of complaints against employees, investigations of claims filed against the Sheriff's Office under the California Claims Act, including *Brady* investigations and the record of discipline with each complaint file or audit or investigations related to lawsuits filed against the County because of any action or inaction of an employee of the Sheriff's Office.
 - 3) Any database or other computer application, or physical files, containing jail inmate grievances and their investigations;
 - 4) Any database or other computer application containing the footage from body worn cameras;
 - 5) Any database or other computer application, or physical files, containing racial profiling data collected by the sheriff's office pursuant to the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 or any successor legislation;
 - 6) Any database or other computer application, or physical files, containing video or audio recordings related to: incidents involving employees, investigations by employees, investigations of employees, investigations of claims filed against the Sheriff's Office under the California Claims Act, or lawsuits filed against the County because of any action or inaction of an employee of the Sheriff's Office;
- (f) The director shall be provided access by the sheriff-coroner to personally sit in and observe the investigative interviews of any complainant or witness in, or deputy who is a subject of, and administrative investigation, upon request by the director;
- (g) The sheriff-coroner shall cooperate with IOLERO by providing direct, unfettered access to staff of the Sheriff's Office, in order to facilitate IOLERO's ability to develop trusting relationships with such staff, and to informally obtain information related to the receipt, review and audit of complaints and investigations, as well as IOLERO's review of policies, practices, and training. Among the opportunities to access staff which the sheriff-coroner shall provide to IOLERO, are the following:
- 1) Any investigator for a complaint being audited by IOLERO;
 - 2) Any employee who is a witness or custodian of relevant records for a complaint or incident being investigated by IOLERO;
 - 3) Any supervisor of an employee subject to an investigation being audited or otherwise conducted by IOLERO; and

4) Any staff gathered for training opportunities, in cooperation with the sheriff-coroner.

(h) Nothing in this section shall be construed to interfere with the constitutionally and statutorily designated independent functions of the sheriff-coroner, as prohibited by Government Code section 25303; matters involving any of these functions are subject to the sheriff-coroner's collaboration.

Sec. 2-395. – Budget allotment.

The annual budget of IOLERO shall be set at a minimum of 1% of the total annual budget of the Office of the Sheriff-Coroner. While this amount is a minimum, IOLERO's budget allotment shall be sufficient to allow IOLERO to perform effectively all of the functions set out in this ordinance.

Sec. 2-396. – Periodic performance audit.

At least every 3 years, IOLERO shall be subject to a performance audit to determine whether the office is operating in an effective and efficient manner and whether it is meeting best practices for the operation of such a civilian oversight office, as established by comparison with other agencies with similar missions.

Sec. 2-397. – Establishment and appointment of IOLERO community advisory council ("CAC").

(a) Purpose. An IOLERO community advisory council is hereby established to increase visibility for the public into the delivery by the sheriff-coroner of policing and corrections services, to provide community participation in the review and establishment of sheriff-coroner policies, procedures, practices, training, and initiatives, and to engage the public to better understand the role of IOLERO and of the sheriff-coroner. The members of the IOLERO CAC shall adhere to the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) Code of Ethics.

(b) Composition and appointment. The board of supervisors and the IOLERO director shall appoint a community advisory council, which shall be composed of 11 members who broadly represent the diversity and demographics of the County by way of, including but not limited to, racial, ethnic, cultural, gender, socio-economic, and geographic diversity; and who are representative of the community and of community stakeholders of the law enforcement oversight process, and who reside within the County of Sonoma. The term of office of CAC members shall be two years, subject to reappointment at the end

of the appointment term. Each supervisor shall appoint two members to the CAC, with the IOLERO director appointing one member.

- (c) Qualifications. In addition to the composition standards set forth above, the following are the minimum qualifications for members of the CAC:
- 1) Have not been employed by any law enforcement agency for three years prior to appointment;
 - 2) A demonstrated history of involvement in and engagement with community organizations that work in one or more of the following areas:
 - i. Serving or empowering disadvantaged communities;
 - ii. Protecting and defending the constitutional rights of individuals;
 - iii. Issues concerning the effectiveness or fairness of the criminal justice system;
 - iv. Serving or empowering members of communities that experience behavioral or mental health challenges; and/or
 - v. Spiritual, faith or religious institutions.
 - 3) A demonstrated ability to engage in mature, objective decision making;
 - 4) A demonstrated commitment to transparency and objective decision making;
 - 5) A demonstrated commitment to and support for civilian oversight of law enforcement; and
 - 6) Residency within the County of Sonoma.”

Section 2. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance. The People of the County of Sonoma hereby declare that they would have passed this Ordinance and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases be declared unconstitutional or invalid.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall be and the same is hereby declared to be in full force and effect from and after thirty (30) days after the date of its passage.

The foregoing Ordinance was adopted by the People of the County of Sonoma at a duly called election on November 3, 2020, by the following vote:

Ayes: 5

Noes: 0

A copy of the certified election results are attached to this ordinance as Exhibit A.

Exhibit A
Copy of Certified Election Results
Measure P
November 3, 2020

CA_Sonoma_report_1606377575682

Report: CA_Sonoma_report_1606377575682

Contest List			
Contest Name	Choice Name	Total Votes	Percent of Votes
President and Vice President	Joseph R. Biden	199,938	74.52%
	Donald J. Trump	61,825	23.04%
	Gloria La Riva	740	0.28%
	Roque 'Rocky' De La Fuente Guerra	832	0.31%
	Howie Hawkins	1,499	0.56%
	Jo Jorgensen	3,418	1.27%
	Brian Carroll	32	0.01%
	Mark Charles	16	0.01%
	Joseph Kishore	1	0.00%
	Brock Pierce	1	0.00%
	Jesse Ventura	15	0.01%
U S Representative 2nd District	Jared Huffman	85,568	76.49%
	Dale K. Mensing	26,296	23.51%
U S Representative 5th District	Mike Thompson	117,430	77.53%
	Scott Giblin	34,039	22.47%
State Senator 3rd District	Bill Dodd	58,307	73.99%
	Carlos Santamaria	20,492	26.01%
Member of Assembly 2nd District	Jim Wood	81,359	73.82%
	Charlotte Svolos	28,857	26.18%
Member of Assembly 4th District	Matthew L. Nelson	9,762	27.56%
	Cecilia Aguiar-curry	25,665	72.44%
Member of Assembly 10th District	Veronica 'Roni' Jacobi	42,696	41.02%
	Marc Levine	61,396	58.98%
Sonoma County Board of Education Area 1	Dianna Macdonald	21,551	45.63%
	Gina Cuclis	25,674	54.37%
So Co Jr College Dist Santa Rosa Area	Caroline Bañuelos	43,052	30.77%
	Don Edgar	23,993	17.15%
	Sarichon Rex Toeung	10,012	7.16%
	Mariana Martinez	43,473	31.07%
	Kerry Rego	19,379	13.85%
Calistoga Joint Unified School District	Julianne Paula Elkeshen	128	22.86%
	Mark L. Galindo	107	19.11%
	Rudy Gonzalez	146	26.07%

	Matthew Reid	90	16.07%
	Stephanie Rothberg-allan	89	15.89%
Cloverdale Unified School District	Preston W. Addison	2,742	22.72%
	Brandon Axell	1,936	16.04%
	Johannes J. Hoevertsz	1,741	14.42%
	Gabriela Mendozatorres	3,497	28.97%
	Ashley White	2,155	17.85%
Cotati-Rohnert Park Unif Trustee Area 5	Tim Nonn	2,081	44.18%
	Michelle Wing	2,629	55.82%
Sonoma Vly Unif Sch Dist Trustee Area 4	Adam Lobsinger	1,704	39.97%
	Troy Knox	2,559	60.03%
Sonoma Vly Unif Sch Dist Trustee Area 5	Anne Ching	3,058	62.91%
	Britta Johnson	1,803	37.09%
Petaluma Jt Union High School District	Sheldon Gen	17,931	29.09%
	Carol Ann Street	11,886	19.28%
	Ellen Webster	15,970	25.91%
	Linda Judah	15,858	25.72%
Pt Arena Jt Un High/ Arena Union Dist	Miquette Thompson	282	14.60%
	Bob Gardiner	426	22.06%
	Sigrid A. Hillscan	380	19.68%
	Ron Miles	389	20.15%
	Jim Dewilder	454	23.51%
City of Santa Rosa High School District Trustee Area 1	Ever Flores	7,199	63.89%
	Jenni Klose	4,069	36.11%
West Sonoma County Union High School Dist	Julie Aiello	17,508	39.47%
	Lynn Schallebaum Gleeson	6,740	15.20%
	Kyle O'connor	4,576	10.32%
	Laurie Ann Fadave	15,530	35.01%
Harmony Union School District	Mariah Lander	1,310	39.94%
	Amanda Solter	1,007	30.70%
	Yuri Springstorm Koslen	963	29.36%
Oak Grove Union School District F/T	Erin Lagourgue	1,312	32.21%
	Robbie Woosley	966	23.72%
	Brian P. Jacobs	655	16.08%
	Lesley Jones	1,140	27.99%
Oak Grove Union School District S/T	Rahine Patel	863	39.55%
	Hannah Kallok	1,319	60.45%

Rincon Valley Union School District	Janelle Taylor	10,024	33.21%
	Eric C. Heins	9,045	29.96%
	Mike Cook	11,119	36.83%
Roseland School District F/T	Sondra Beam	1,166	26.10%
	Tiffany Kampmann	2,073	46.40%
	Patricia S. Krueger	1,229	27.51%
Member, City Council Santa Rosa District 1	Eddie Alvarez	3,166	45.78%
	Duane De Witt	780	11.28%
	Jorge Inocencio	2,176	31.47%
	Elizabeth Valente	793	11.47%
Member, City Council Santa Rosa District 3	Harrison 'Jack' Tibbetts	12,065	98.52%
	Keith Rhinehart	181	1.48%
Member, City Council Santa Rosa District 5	Azmina R. Hanna	2,682	31.66%
	Chris Rogers	5,790	68.34%
Member, City Council Santa Rosa District 7	Daniela Pavone	1,821	16.85%
	Eric Christensen	4,393	40.65%
	Natalie Rogers	4,593	42.50%
Member, City Council Cloverdale	Melanie Bagby	1,610	21.33%
	Mary Ann Brigham	1,361	18.03%
	Todd Lands	2,222	29.44%
	Nicole Garcia-hinchliffe	948	12.56%
	Jenny Candelaria-orr	1,407	18.64%
Member, City Council Cotati	Susan Harvey	2,253	26.08%
	Laura Sparks	2,493	28.85%
	Brittany Murphy	1,686	19.51%
	Ben Ford	2,208	25.56%
Member, City Council Healdsburg	David Hagele	3,532	22.09%
	Doralice Handal	1,257	7.86%
	Skylaer Palacios	3,611	22.58%
	Charles A. Duffy	1,539	9.63%
	Ariel Kelley	4,452	27.84%
	David R. Jones	1,598	9.99%
Member, City Council Petaluma	Brian Barnacle	18,472	21.11%
	Mike Healy	11,742	13.42%
	Dennis Pocekay	16,543	18.90%
	Kathy Miller	10,628	12.14%
	Robert Conklin	5,401	6.17%
	Gabe Kearney	8,619	9.85%
	Susan Kirks	5,173	5.91%

	Lizzie Wallack	10,942	12.50%
Member, City Council Rohnert Park District 1	Walter 'Willy' Linares	1,844	61.80%
	Dave Soldavini	1,140	38.20%
Member, City Council Rohnert Park District 3	Gerard Giudice	2,849	59.60%
	Joseph T. Callinan	1,931	40.40%
Member, City Council Rohnert Park District 4	Jackie Elward	2,442	56.65%
	Jake Mackenzie	1,869	43.35%
Member, City Council Sebastopol	Vaughn Richard Higginbotham	818	9.68%
	Neysa Hinton	2,129	25.20%
	Evaristo 'Evert' Fernandez	2,074	24.54%
	Michael Carnacchi	851	10.07%
	Diana Rich	2,578	30.51%
Member, City Council Sonoma	Amy Harrington	4,257	50.30%
	Jack Ding	4,207	49.70%
Mayor, Town of Windsor	Tanya Potter	1,505	10.40%
	Sam Salmon	1,965	13.58%
	Dominic Foppoli	6,307	43.59%
	Rosa Reynoza	4,691	32.42%
Member, Town Council Windsor District 3	Jeffrey Leasure	1,593	46.13%
	Debora Fudge	1,860	53.87%
Graton Fire Protection Dist	Linda Tripoli	1,448	19.23%
	Zane W. Gray	1,941	25.78%
	Jason Nunes	2,021	26.84%
	Torrey Farmer	2,119	28.14%
Prop 14 - Continue Funding Stem Cell and Medical Research	Yes	140,671	54.29%
	No	118,462	45.71%
Prop 15 - Increases Funding for Public Schools	Yes	141,381	53.63%
	No	122,224	46.37%
Prop 16 - Government Preferences	Yes	123,008	47.64%
	No	135,210	52.36%
Prop 17 - Disqualification Of Electors	Yes	175,523	66.81%
	No	87,184	33.19%
Prop 18 - Voting Age	Yes	126,163	47.90%
	No	137,222	52.10%
Prop 19 - Home Protection	Yes	144,541	56.07%
	No	113,250	43.93%
Prop 20 - Restricts Parole For Non-Violent Offenders	Yes	76,749	30.16%
	No	177,735	69.84%
Prop 21 - Expands Local Governments' Authority To Enact Rent	Yes	110,602	42.93%

Control	No	147,058	57.07%
Prop 22 - Changes Classification for App-Based Delivery Drivers	Yes	130,253	50.17%
	No	129,393	49.83%
Prop 23 - Authorizes State Regulation of Kidney Dialysis Clinics	Yes	89,364	34.62%
	No	168,796	65.38%
Prop 24 - Amends Consumer Privacy Laws	Yes	142,295	55.63%
	No	113,502	44.37%
Prop 25 - Referendum To Overturn A 2018 Law That Replaced Money Bail System	Yes	139,180	54.41%
	No	116,601	45.59%
Measure L - Shoreline Unified School District Parcel Tax	Yes	1,039	73.43%
	No	376	26.57%
Measure M - Fort Ross School District Parcel Tax	Yes	276	67.32%
	No	134	32.68%
Measure N - Sebastopol Union School District Parcel Tax	Yes	5,795	74.75%
	No	1,957	25.25%
Measure O - County of Sonoma Mental Health, Addiction & Homeless Services Transaction & Use Tax	Yes	178,309	68.07%
	No	83,659	31.93%
Measure P - County of Sonoma Evelyn Cheatham Effective IOLERO	Yes	166,483	64.74%
	No	90,689	35.26%
Measure Q - City of Santa Rosa Transactions and Use Tax	Yes	61,163	71.84%
	No	23,970	28.16%
Measure R - City of Cloverdale Utility Users' Tax Extension	Yes	2,420	53.43%
	No	2,109	46.57%
Measure S - City of Cotati Transactions and Use Tax Extension	Yes	3,118	74.49%
	No	1,068	25.51%
Measure T - City of Healdsburg Sales Tax Extension	Yes	3,915	59.96%
	No	2,614	40.04%
Measure U - City of Petaluma Transactions and Use Tax	Yes	20,961	60.82%
	No	13,502	39.18%
Measure V - City of Sonoma Sales Tax Extension	Yes	4,645	68.93%
	No	2,094	31.07%
Measure W - City of Sonoma Growth Boundary	Yes	5,261	78.68%
	No	1,426	21.32%
Measure X - City of Sonoma Cannabis Business Tax	Yes	5,170	77.83%
	No	1,473	22.17%
Measure Y - City of Sonoma Cannabis Cultivation and Business	Yes	2,896	43.56%
	No	3,753	56.44%
Measure Z - Kenwood Fire Protection Ratify Appropriations Limit	Yes	1,058	94.80%
	No	58	5.20%
Measure AA - Timber Cove Fire Protection Parcel Tax	Yes	185	74.00%
	No	65	26.00%

Measure CC - Petaluma Health Care Hospital Purchase Agreement	Yes	37,873	84.38%
	No	7,012	15.62%
Measure BB - North Sonoma County Healthcare Hospital Purchase Agreement	Yes	26,696	84.49%
	No	4,900	15.51%
Measure DD - Sonoma County Transportation Authority Sales Tax	Yes	185,307	70.95%
	No	75,878	29.05%
Voting Data			
Registered Voters			300,586
Ballots Cast			272,244
Total Num. Precincts			668
Precinct Reporting			-
Voter Turnout			91%