



SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

Legislative Summary

CB 119407

Record No.: CB 119407

Type: Ordinance (Ord)

Status: Passed

Version: 3

Ord. no: Ord 125727

In Control: City Clerk

File Created: 11/06/2018

Final Action: 11/30/2018

Title: AN ORDINANCE related to monitoring and inspecting vacant buildings for compliance with the requirements of the Housing and Building Maintenance Code; amending Sections 22.206.200 and 22.208.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

Date

Notes:

Filed with City Clerk:

Mayor's Signature:

Sponsors: Bagshaw

Vetoed by Mayor:

Veto Overridden:

Veto Sustained:

Attachments: Mayor's Letter on Returning Bill Unsigned

Drafter: linda.diibon@seattle.gov

Filing Requirements/Dept Action:

History of Legislative File

Legal Notice Published:

☐ Yes

☐ No

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
1	City Clerk	11/06/2018	sent for review	Council President's Office			
	Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was sent for review. to the Council President's Office						
	Notes:						
1	Council President's Office	11/06/2018	sent for review	Select Budget Committee			
	Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was sent for review. to the Select Budget Committee						
	Notes:						
1	City Council	11/13/2018	referred	Select Budget Committee			
	Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was referred. to the Select Budget Committee						
	Notes:						
1	Select Budget Committee	11/14/2018	pass as amended				Pass
	Action Text: The Committee recommends that City Council pass as amended the Council Bill (CB).						
	Notes:						

In Favor: 7 Chair Bagshaw, Member Harrell, Member Herbold, Member Juarez,
Member Mosqueda, Member O'Brien, Member Sawant
Opposed: 1 Member Johnson
Abstain: 1 Member González

2 Select Budget Committee 11/19/2018 pass as amended Pass

Action Text: The Committee recommends that City Council pass as amended the Council Bill (CB) with a Divided Report.

Notes:

In Favor: 6 Member Harrell, Member Herbold, Member Juarez, Member Mosqueda,
Member O'Brien, Member Sawant
Opposed: 3 Chair Bagshaw, Member González , Member Johnson

1 City Council 11/19/2018 passed Pass

Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was passed by the following vote, and the President signed the Bill:

Notes:

In Favor: 6 Council President Harrell, Councilmember Herbold, Councilmember Juarez, Councilmember Mosqueda, Councilmember O'Brien, Councilmember Sawant
Opposed: 3 Councilmember Bagshaw, Councilmember González , Councilmember Johnson

3 City Clerk 11/21/2018 submitted for Mayor
Mayor's signature

Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was submitted for Mayor's signature. to the Mayor

Notes:

3 Mayor 11/30/2018 returned unsigned

Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was returned unsigned.

Notes:

3 Mayor 11/30/2018 returned City Clerk

Action Text: The Council Bill (CB) was returned. to the City Clerk

Notes:

3 City Clerk 11/30/2018 attested by City Clerk

Action Text: The Ordinance (Ord) was attested by City Clerk.

Notes:



City of Seattle

Mayor Jenny A. Durkan

November 30, 2018

Monica Martinez Simmons
Seattle City Clerk
600 4th Avenue, 3rd Floor
Seattle, WA 98124

Dear Ms. Martinez Simmons,

I am returning Council Bill 119407 unsigned, understanding it will become law. I strongly believe we need a comprehensive and robust vacant building program to reduce the risk to public safety, and we have been working on this front for more than a year. There are, however, a number of issues related to this legislation.

As City Budget Director Ben Noble and Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) Director Nathan Torgelson wrote to the Council in the attached November 19 memo, there are serious financial, policy, and implementation concerns with the changes Council proposed. Each of the concerns outlined in the memo were left unaddressed before passage, leaving the legislation both premature and incomplete. We are committed to work with Council to adequately address these issues. Major policy changes that have significant budget, information technology, personnel, fleet, and implementation challenges should be developed through Council's normal deliberative process, while taking into account the feedback provided by the professionals tasked with implementing the new policy.

Despite my overall concerns about the Council's action, again I reiterate my support for a robust Vacant Building Monitoring program and for reducing the public safety impacts of vacant buildings. Indeed, we are making progress on vacant building issues. Legislation passed by the Council in 2017 streamlined unfit building determinations for those buildings that have a record of being open to entry and have necessitated police or fire department involvement. This has increased the City's capacity for reviewing and taking enforcement action against severely deteriorated vacant buildings.

I have asked SDCI to develop a new proposal related to addressing vacant building challenges and will make SDCI staff available to work with Council to develop improvements that can be implemented even earlier than the June 1 effective date established in CB 119407.

Sincerely,

Jenny A. Durkan
Mayor of Seattle



Seattle City Budget Office

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 19, 2018

To: Seattle City Councilmembers

From: Ben Noble, City Budget Director
Nathan Torgelson, SDCI Director

Re: Concerns with Council's Proposed "Vacant Building Monitoring (VBM) Program Enhancements"
Changes to Fee Ordinance (GS 33-8-E-1-2019) and Title 22 Ordinance (GS 33-11-A-1-2019)

Summary

The Council budget balancing package includes significant changes to the Vacant Building Monitoring program, which currently monitors a subset of SDCI's active enforcement cases. The proposal adds all properties with vacant buildings undergoing permit applications¹, even those with well-maintained vacant buildings that have no history of violations. While we have been working in recent days with Councilmember Herbold on some potential amendments and appreciate the collaboration, we have ultimately determined that important financial, policy and implementation concerns remain and the bill needs further time for analysis. Regarding the budget specifically, we are concerned that the resources provided by Council through the associated fee ordinance will not be adequate to operate the program in the way Council contemplates, and we will be unable to implement the legislation as intended.

We have made progress this year on vacant building issues. Legislation passed by the Council last year streamlined unfit building determinations for those buildings that have a record of being open to entry and have necessitated police or fire department involvement. This has increased our capacity for reviewing severely deteriorated vacant buildings. In 2017, we completed 6 unfit building determinations; all these buildings have now been demolished. So far In 2018, since adopting the legislation, we have completed 14 unfit building determinations. Two of these have been demolished and others are subject to further enforcement or are in the permit process. We expect to complete at least 5 more unfit building determinations (of the 13 in the pipeline) by year's end. Enhanced collaboration with SPD and SFD allows enforcement staff to work more effectively and proactively with property owners.

¹ SDCI is currently reviewing more than 2,200 building permit applications for new construction. Several hundred additional properties are under review for more complex land use approvals. An unknown portion of these properties may have existing buildings that are not currently occupied.

We appreciate that several council members have acknowledged the challenges inherent to SDCI's code enforcement and vacant building monitoring work, as well as the complexity of the proposed changes to the program. We share the Council's enthusiasm for reducing the public safety impacts of vacant buildings, and urge the Council to allow time for these program changes to be more thoroughly vetted and to explore alternative strategies that would achieve the same goals. The report that SDCI prepared for Council in April 2018 contained a variety of potential strategies for effectively responding to vacant buildings, and additional strategies have come to light since then.

We urge you not to adopt this proposal and to instead direct SDCI staff to work with the PLUZ committee members, especially Councilmembers Johnson and Herbold. We commit to developing a proposal by January 15, and an implementation date that is earlier than that contemplated in the green sheet (June 1), potentially as early as April 1. While still abbreviated, this timeline will provide an opportunity to address many of the concerns we have identified in recent weeks:

Size of Program

As proposed, the enhanced program would likely be over 25 times the size of the current program² given the number of new properties and monitoring increasing from quarterly to monthly. SDCI's initial estimate provided to Council for the number of properties undergoing development that would be enrolled was limited to properties that had been issued a Notice of Violation after receiving a complaint.

Financial Impact

- The Council's proposal assumes that the program will be fully funded by monitoring fees. We are concerned that this assumption will not hold up to analysis, given that roughly one-thirds of SDCI's invoices for vacant building monitoring currently go unpaid and in some years the number is higher. The proposed changes to the fee ordinance would reduce the base monitoring fee by 34% (from around \$260 to \$171). We think the cost per visit for a property with no violations is at least \$230.
- The green sheet does not include the IT costs necessary to implement the enhanced program. Currently, the program is small enough that the tracking and billing is done manually. The scope of the changes proposed would require technology improvements to allow SDCI to identify, enroll, track, inspect, and bill the VBM properties using a software program. Seattle IT has provided an initial estimate of \$300,000 for this work to enhance Accela. In addition, other critical path SDCI IT Workplan items would need to be reprioritized and would be delayed.
- The proposed changes would require purchasing more vehicles than currently reflected in the green sheet. The addition of more inspectors that require vehicles conflicts with the Mayor's Executive Order 2018-05.

Policy Issues

- The proposal requires monitoring fees for at least three months for vacant buildings on properties that are in the permitting process and have no code violations.
- Charging monitoring fees does not, on its own, resolve problems at buildings, and it is not clear that increased monitoring will be successful in preventing problems.

² In 2017, SDCI completed 179 scheduled vacant building monitoring checks as part of the VBM program. The proposed changes in amended CB 119407 could easily result in upwards of 5,000 scheduled monitoring checks each year, if even just 20% of the properties currently undergoing permit review have a vacant building on them.

- Commercial properties already receive regular inspections from SFD and have different securement requirements for vacancy (for example, electricity must remain on for commercial property alarm systems, but turned off for residential properties).

Staff Resources

- The Council green sheet adds 3.0 FTEs of additional staff, which was based on a previous SDCI estimate of 235 properties enrolled in the program (this did not include vacant buildings on sites currently in the permit process if there were no code violations). We estimate that the program as currently proposed by Council would include 470 properties and may require at least 6.0 FTE inspectors plus a supervisor.
- The green sheet does not acknowledge the amount of additional follow-up enforcement work likely to be generated by monthly monitoring checks of hundreds of buildings in the VBM program. This will create additional workload that will fall to other General Funded housing-zoning inspectors that do the enforcement work.

In summary, we are committed to working with Council to make appropriate modifications to the City's vacant building regulations and to assuring that there are appropriate resources available to enforce the resulting policies. We have worked with Councilmember Herbold to this end, but the budget process is simply not the best venue for working through such complex policy issues. At this point, the legislation before you is premature and incomplete. The associated green sheet actually acknowledges this shortcoming, identifying the need for future position authority and budget changes. There is no need to pass this unfinished legislation now. As outlined above, we are committed to working with you on an alternative legislative approach that will bring the desired outcomes on a shorter timeline.

Thank you.

CC: Kirstan Arestad, Central Staff Executive Director
Ketil Freeman, Central Staff Supervising Analyst
David Moseley, Deputy Mayor

CITY OF SEATTLE

ORDINANCE 125727

COUNCIL BILL 119407

AN ORDINANCE related to monitoring and inspecting vacant buildings for compliance with the requirements of the Housing and Building Maintenance Code; amending Sections 22.206.200 and 22.208.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2017, the City Council ("Council") passed Ordinance 125399, which amended standards for maintaining and securing vacant buildings and provided the Director of the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) with additional authority to abate and demolish hazardous and nuisance vacant buildings; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance 125399 also requested that SDCI develop options and alternatives to enhance the City's existing Vacant Building Monitoring Program; and

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2018, those options were presented to the Council's Planning, Land Use, and Zoning Committee; and

WHEREAS, in 2017 SDCI opened 434 complaint cases related to the maintenance and security of vacant buildings; and

WHEREAS, nuisance and hazard problems associated with poorly maintained vacant buildings can be partially addressed by increased monitoring, inspections, and enforcement; and

WHEREAS, through Council Bill 119386, the Council is considering a change in fees for more frequent inspections and monitoring of vacant buildings; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 22.206.200 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 125399, is amended as follows:

22.206.200 Minimum standards for vacant buildings

* * *

F. Inspection and monitoring of vacant buildings

1. When the Director has reason to believe that a building is vacant, the Director may inspect the building and the premises. If the Director identifies a violation of the minimum standards for vacant buildings, a notice of violation may be issued pursuant to Section 22.206.220. Thereafter the premises shall be inspected (~~((quarterly))~~ monthly) to determine whether the building and its accessory structures are vacant and closed to entry in conformance with the standards of this Code.

2. The Director shall monitor and inspect monthly vacant buildings and any structures accessory thereto:

a. For which there have been three or more notices of violation issued within any consecutive 365-day period for violating this Section 22.206.200;

b. Which are located on a lot for which there is a Master Use Permit or Building Permit application for new development; or

c. Which are included on a list, maintained by the Seattle Fire Department or the Seattle Police Department, of vacant buildings that have generated calls for dispatch.

~~((2))~~ 3. ~~((Quarterly))~~ Monthly inspections shall cease at the earliest of the following:

a. When the building is repaired pursuant to the requirements of this Code and reoccupied;

1 b. When the building ~~((is repaired pursuant to))~~ meets the maintenance
2 requirements of this Code and has subsequently been subject to three consecutive ~~((quarterly))~~
3 monthly inspections without ~~((further))~~ violation; or

4 c. When the building and any accessory structures have been
5 demolished.

6 ~~((3))~~ 4. A building or structure accessory thereto that remains vacant and
7 open to entry after the closure date in a Director's order or notice of violation is found and
8 declared to be a public nuisance. The Director is hereby authorized to summarily close the
9 building to unauthorized entry. The costs of closure shall be collected from the owner in the
10 manner provided by law.

11 ~~((4))~~ 5. A premises that contains a vacant building or accessory structure
12 that fails to comply with subsection 22.206.200.A.4 after the compliance date in a Director's
13 order or notice of violation is found and declared to be a public nuisance. The Director is hereby
14 authorized to summarily abate the public nuisance by removing all debris, combustible materials
15 including vegetation overgrowth, litter and garbage, junk, waste, used or salvageable materials,
16 and inoperable vehicles and vehicle parts, from the vacant building, accessory structures, and the
17 premises including but not limited to adjoining yard areas. The costs of abatement shall be
18 collected from the owner in the manner provided by law.

19 ~~((5))~~ 6. ~~((Quarterly))~~ Monthly inspection charges shall be assessed and
20 collected as a fee under the Permit Fee Ordinance (Chapters 22.900A through 22.900G).

Section 2. Section 22.208.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 117861, is amended as follows:

22.208.090 Reinspection of vacant buildings ((v))

When a building is vacant and has been closed to entry pursuant to an order of the Director issued pursuant to this ~~((chapter))~~ Chapter 22.208, the Director shall reinspect the building ~~((quarterly))~~ monthly pursuant to ~~((Section))~~ subsection 22.206.200.F to verify that the building and structures accessory to the building remain vacant and closed to entry and meet the minimum standards for vacant buildings set forth in this Code, and to determine the extent to which the building has deteriorated. The owner shall be charged an inspection fee for the ~~((quarterly))~~ inspections. ~~((Quarterly inspection))~~ Inspection charges shall be assessed and collected as a fee under the Permit Fee Ordinance (~~((SMC))~~ Chapters 22.901A ~~((--22.901T))~~ through 22.901H).

Section 3. Section 1 and Section 2 of this ordinance shall take effect on June 1, 2019.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the 19th day of November, 2018,
and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this 19th day of
November, 2018.



President _____ of the City Council

Approved by me this _____ day of _____, 2018.

**Returned Unsigned
by Mayor**

Jenny A. Durkan, Mayor

Filed by me this 30th day of NOVEMBER, 2018.



Monica Martinez Simmons, City Clerk

(Seal)