STAFF REPORT
To: City Council
From: Jay T. Mazalewski
Meeting Date: 10/20/2026

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

ACTION ITEM: Victor Connections – Ratification

PURPOSE & SUMMARY

The City of Victor has requested ratification/written consent for the following developments to connect to the collection system under the control of Victor. This request falls under Section 5. Connections of the 2011 Inter-City Agreement for Wastewater Treatment Services.

> 5) **Connections**. Any single connection in excess of ten (10) equivalent residential units made to the trunk line and collection systems outside and inside of the City limits of **Driggs** and **Victor** shall be made only with the express written consent of both cities which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. If at any time, the Trunk Line reaches its capacity as a result of additional flows, then both cities shall share in the cost of installing larger lines where necessary. The ratio of participation shall be based on the number of equivalent residential units each party has connected to the Trunk Line, which have contributed to the need for a larger line. If the parties hereto are not able to agree on the proportional ratios, an in stream flow calculation shall be taken manually at the point the Trunk Line connects with the **Driggs** system, and the proportional ratios shall be based upon the actual flows, with the cost of such measurement being shared in the same proportions as are determined for the line increase.

Requested Connections location/name:

Name	Address/ Parcel Address	# of Units	Appd / Pd Connect Fee	Unpaid
3-11-25 sherman park.pdf	400, and 410, S Agate 8890 S and 8920 S Baseline	90	60	30
70 Blue Flax-will serveletter 7-24-2024.pdf	70 Blue Flax	8	8	0
Aspen 319.docx				0
Aspen St Partners Will serv.pdf	RPB0087002001E	11	0	11
Evergreen Subdivision 8470 S 1000 W.docx				0
Evergreen Will Serve -Manny G.pdf	8460 S 1000 W	36	0	36
Friestedt Will serve.pdf	RPB0086012002C	45	0	45
Haven Hideaway -Ball Will ser.pdf	RPB3N45E141520	7	0	7
Letmrun Will Serve.pdf	7774 Lupine Lane	4	1	3
Mine Canyon Properties Will serve Signed.pdf	238 Cedron Road	10	2	8
On time financial LLC Fox Creek.pdf	Vulpine Place RP00200MF10010	16	0	16
Paul Evans Will Serve	RP03N45E022400	1	0	1
Rob Pitts Twin Slides Will serve .pdf	RPB02225030210	28	5	23
Will serv 149 west center permit 2000.docx				0
Will Serve Anthony Burns Trail Creek Apts.pdf	375 N Agate **375 Larkspure Ave ?**	86	87	-1
Will Serve Mountainside Village Phs 4A.pdf	Mountainside Village PHS 4A	25	1	24
will serve teton hights.pdf	RPB3N45E118425	36	16	20
Will Serve Trail Creek W PH2.pdf	RPB00870110301 The Summit Phs 2	23	0	23
Will Serve TVMotel Div 1.pdf	7785 N Agate	5	5	0
Homestead Apartments	10, 40, 70, 100, 120,140 Homestead Rd	88	76	12
Lakewood Rd multi family	145, 165, 185 Lakewood Rd	12	12	0
142 Cedron Apartments	142 Cedron Apartments	12	12	0
Table Rock Condos	136, 138, 140, 144, 146,148 Larkspur Rd	24	24	0
MSV Multifamily Project	9033 Old Jackson Highway	4	4	0
MSV Mulitifamily Project	RPB0027202A280	5	5	0
		576	**318**	**258**

Driggs Council requested additional information, specifically:

1. *Is Victor leaving the system?*
   Although no formal statement has been received, Driggs should assume that Victor is remaining on the system for the duration of the current agreement, at a minimum.

2. *How many of the units are built and in the process of being built?*
   A total of 576 units are requested for the consent to connect, of those 318 have paid for a connection permit. Whether the unit was constructed or under construction was not readily available, but both staffs believe this is a reasonable number to assume connected system within next year.

IMPACTS:

TREATMENT FACILITY: The upgraded and expanded treatment facility is designed to accommodate 20-years of growth (at 4%) for the Driggs and Victor service areas. The facility should be able to accommodate these developments if the overall growth pattern remains at 4%.

COLLECTION SYSTEM: Staff requested our wastewater collections engineer (Keller Associates) to review the South Lift Station capacity based on the last two years of data. We have not received the final update memo but did receive an email summary of their findings which is summarized below:

In summary, after reviewing the daily flows and SCADA that you provided, it appears the model PDF scenario is about 0.1 MGD higher than what has been observed over the last two years which is why the initial model results were not consistent with your observations. Because the observed flows are not as high as we thought, the lift station can likely convey additional flow from new connections without too much surcharging or any overflows. It is certainly still a lift station to monitor and it is approaching capacity, but the concern is not as immediate as we initially thought.

Under an average day flow (ADF) scenario, the South LS operates between its normal setpoints, and the second pump does not need to come on. The Main and Creekside LS's also operate between their setpoints. This indicates, during majority of the year, the lift station has sufficient capacity. Using the ADF planning criteria of 165 gallons/ERU/day, more than 500 ERUs could be added before the second pump in the South LS would need to turn on. Note, this is not recommended because the PDF and PHF should be the basis of design, however, it indicates adding new connections upstream is not anticipated to lead to overflows on daily basis.

As discussed, IDAPA rules require lift station's firm capacity (i.e., one pump on) to exceed the PHF coming into the lift station. While this is met when the South LS is pumping alone, it is not met when either Creekside or Main LS are on at the same time. For this reason, improvements should be started soon, so a solution can be implemented before the capacity issue leads to surcharging or overflows.

Staff is working with Victor and their engineers to conduct actual pumping tests to help further calibrate the models and begin planning for trunkline/lift station improvements.

Staff recommends approving the consent to connect for the properties identified in the table above.

POTENTIAL MOTION:

1. I move to approve the consent to connect request from Victor for the developments noted in the staff report.
2. Discussion
3. Move to amend (to add or modify approval conditions) or move to table
4. Move the previous question (to limit debate)
5. Vote