

City of Davis

February 10, 2025, 6:00 PM
Davis City Council Chambers
308 S 3rd St
Davis, OK 73030

Agenda Item #1: Call to order Davis City Council

- Meeting called to order by Vice Mayor Stan Jones. Time: 6:00 PM

Agenda Item #2: Roll Call.

- Mayor Brian Davis – absent; Vice Mayor Stan Jones – present; Councilman Josh Oakley – present; Councilman Clint Grinstead – present; Councilman Jeremy Bumgarner – present.

Agenda Item #3: Prayer

- Prayer led by Ralph Coppenbarger, Jr.

Agenda Item #4: Pledge of Allegiance

- Pledge of Allegiance led by Jones.

Agenda Item #5: Consideration and possible action to approve Consent Agenda.

- a. Minutes of prior meetings. Motion to approve made by Bumgarner. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- b. Claims. Motion to approve made by Bumgarner. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.

Agenda Item #6: Consideration and possible action to approve items removed from Consent Agenda.

- No items removed from the consent agenda.

Agenda Item #7: Consideration and possible action to approve the appointment of Mark Richardson to the Cemetery Board to fill the seat formerly held by Rick Warren.

- Motion made by Oakley to approve the appointment of Mark Richardson. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Ricky Harness stated that the Cemetery Board met this month and recommended the appointment of Mark Richardson to fill the seat formerly held by Rick Warrant. Richardson is a resident of the Davis zip code.

Agenda Item #8: Consideration and possible action to approve, amend or deny Ordinance 01-2025 Amending Title 11 Zoning Regulations, Chapter 4 Zoning Districts and Maps, Section 6 Zoning of annexed land.

- Motion to approve Ordinance 01-2025 made by Jones. Second by Grinstead. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Harness presented the ordinance change which is intended to address how we zone annexed properties. Provided a review of the current ordinance. There have been 46 annexations since the mid 1950s, none of these addressed the zoning of the annexed properties. This would allow for the cleanup of many years of zoning issues. Moving forward the goal would be to address zoning at the time of annexations. Grinstead asked about an agricultural use zone. Harness confirmed we do have an agricultural use zone. Grinstead asked if we would be contacting each property owner. Harness agreed that we would if the proper zone was not obvious. Discussion of current ordinance and how this would change. Grinstead asked if each property would be brought before the council for approval of the zoning. Harness stated that they would not, it would be at the discretion of the City Manager and City Planner along with the property owner. Further discussion of existing zoning and challenges experienced for zoning that was not assigned with an annexation. Oakley asked if we would notify the property owners with certified mailings. Harness stated that we can use certified mail, regular mail, phone logs, whatever is needed.

Ralph Coppenbarger, Jr., City Planner, shared that we no longer use certified mail for our letters but a certificate of mailing. It provides proof of the mailing, and it is more cost effective. Grinstead asked what the alternative to this would be. Harness stated it would be planning commission, mailing letters, etc. Grinstead asked about other zoning options a property owner may request during the process. Harness stated that the zoning classification that is appropriate for their current use would be selected. Kristin Jarman, City Attorney, stated that we would be restricted to a zoning classification based on the current and established land use at the time of the review. Jones stated that the plan would help to correct past issues, even if it does not all fit, it would be appropriate for most properties. Jarman stated that she was asked if a property is currently used as commercial and it is rezoned as something else would it impact the property value. If the property in question were never zoned, we are not rezoning it, we are establishing a zoning classification to match the current use. Grinstead asked if all landowners would get a say in their zone. Harness stated, yes, to a point, it will all depend on the current use. If something does not fit, it will go through the rezoning application process. Grinstead mentioned concerns for areas around the property in question. Harness reiterated that if the property does not fit current use, they will be required to follow the process to request another zone.

Agenda Item #9: Consideration and possible action to approve, amend or deny Ordinance 02-2025 Amending Title 1 Administration, Chapter 6 City Council, Section 3 Meetings.

- Tabled to March 10, 2025 meeting.
- Harness presented Ordinance 02-2025 addressing meeting agendas stating the goal is to provide right-left boundaries for the council. Changes to the ordinance begin with Section D., items 1, 2 and 3 are already being done. 4. and 5. are the proposed changes. This is to allow city staff time to prepare for the council a quality agenda packet. Late submissions cause challenges for gathering information, data and possibly other people invited that may need to attend a meeting. Grinstead asked what happens if something comes up within the 10 days outlined. Harness stated that if enough information was not provided the topic could be too broad or there could not be enough detail for the information to be put together. The internal deadline that we try to meet for documents to Susie is Wednesday and we don't always meet those, we are providing documents to her on Thursday and even sometimes Friday. Grinstead asked again what if something comes up during the 10 days? Do we have to wait until next month? Harness stated that there would be consideration to include it. There will be items within the 10 days that need to be included. Grinstead asked if this item was placed on the agenda 10 days before. Harness stated no, this ordinance is not in place yet. Jones asked about emergency meetings and if we have anything in our policies for those. Susan Suther, City Clerk/Treasurer, stated that there are requirements or guidelines to be able to call an emergency meeting, we've only had a couple and they were health related when COVID happened. Discussion began of agenda posting requirements and deadlines, emergency, special and regular. She stated that she needs to have her agenda together by Friday morning to meet the preparation and posting requirements and that is why she has an internal deadline of Wednesday/Thursday. Jones asked how this ordinance compares to other cities. Harness replied that the ordinance was patterned after Norman. Other cities were also reviewed, Sapulpa, Sand Springs and Bixby. Many do not go into this level of detail, they do have a deadline of a week or so. Bumgarner asked if any small towns were reviewed. Harness stated that Sand Springs and Sapulpa are not large towns. Suther commented that Sand Springs is the Wednesday before the meeting, Harness confirmed. Jones asked if something comes up late Friday, Saturday or Sunday, are we limited, could we use new business. Suther clarified that you can discuss new business, but you cannot take any action in new business. You may determine in that discussion that a special meeting is needed but cautioned that if you get into a lot of special meetings, that is less efficient. Grinstead asked who can remove items from the agenda and who cannot. Harness, stated if it did not come in by the deadline it would not be on the agenda. Grinstead asked if it did come in by the deadline. Harness stated that there are certain items that cannot be discussed on the agenda, example given, disciplinary action of an employee. Harness stated that items that are confidential in nature cannot be discussed on the agenda. Grinstead asked if we are receiving lots of requests for items that are confidential. Harness replied that we are not. Grinstead asked what stemmed this ordinance change. Harness replied that Friday afternoon requests have come in and those have been difficult. A member of the audience, James

Rhodes, asked to speak. He stated that when he served on the school board, seven or ten days was required and allowed time for programming. You could add emergencies and special items if needed. Grinstead stated that his concern was something coming up in the 10-day period. Jones stated that instances are rare that you just find something out on a Friday and if it really needs to be addressed a special meeting could be called. Oakley stated that just because it cannot be added as an action item we can leave it on the agenda as a discussion item so we will be further ahead for an action item. Jones stated that if Susie can't get everything together for the packet because the requests are coming on Friday afternoon, that's not good. Grinstead asked Suther if she was having requests late on a Friday. Suther responded that she has not received requests on Friday's that has required her to produce documents for the packet. Harness responded that the production of documents for the Friday requests were completed by him. He stated that he is asking for time for any items on the agenda, that require research and documentation, that enough time is provided for that to be completed and everyone in the room have an informed decision. Having one person know what it is they want to discuss is not appropriate for this type of meeting. Grinstead asked if Harness called and asked the person what the topic was pertaining to for the meeting and if there wasn't enough time that it could be discussed in the next meeting. Harness stated he had not, he has attempted to comply with the request the best he could. Jarman inserted that the language used in this ordinance was taken from other cities and allows for the preparation to be completed so everyone can be prepared. Reference to 4 a. iii. is the 10 days with the City Manager's administrative discretion and 5 a. has a seven-day requirement to be approved and forced onto the agenda by the Mayor and two council members. Harness added that in his conversation with other administrators an item as significant as a budget or policy change needs to carry Mayoral or multiple council member support. Grinstead stated to Harness that none of the issues up to this point have been budget or policy related. Harness stated that they have been discussed but no changes. Grinstead stated that the Mayor should get to be involved in this decision. He asked if all items had to be written. Jarman stated that written requests give the framework, so everyone involved knows what was requested and it is not interpreted differently by anyone. Grinstead stated he may not have the time to do this. Jarman stated that in her research the ultimate decision falls to the City Manager, but 5 a. does allow the force of agenda placement for items. Grinstead stated that he also reached out to Sand Springs and they said it needs to be outlined in your ordinance who can approve and disapprove agenda items. They didn't specify who, but it needs to be written in the ordinance to identify that. Jarman stated that in her research most did not define that specifically, but it falls under the City Managers's authority and duties. She also disclosed that she came into this with an open mind not looking to support one person or another. Jones asked if we should discuss the number of days make any changes. Grinstead said 10 days on budget or policy but not on what pops up for questions or updates. Oakley stated he was in agreement with that and leaving items on as discussion items. Jones asked if it would fall into new business. Suther stated that it could be added to the agenda as a discussion item with no action. Discussion began of new business without the ability to take action. Suther stated that knowing you are going to ask about something or discuss it and adding it to the agenda as a discussion item allows more time for preparation or for someone to attend than getting to agenda item, say 17 and introducing it for the first time. Jarman cautioned that if you cannot get an item on the agenda to pay attention to the language in New Business, which does not allow for discussion if it was known prior to the posting of the agenda. Grinstead stated this is his point, if he couldn't get it on the agenda, it doesn't fit new business. Jones asked if we need to address the new business language to include the 10 day language. Discussion of items coming up within the window and not fitting new business. Oakley added receiving this item on Friday afternoon and having until Monday evening to make a decision. Harness asked if they also believed dropping an item on the staff on Friday afternoon at 1:30 to discuss something that only one person knows about and no one having a clear understanding of the discussion item. Oakley stated that it's just a discussion item. Harness replied that there are guidelines for agenda items that this body is supposed to follow. Grinstead stated that this item was dropped on him on Friday. Harness agreed that it was and if they want to receive the information and the packet earlier, give him that feedback and that change will be made. It will also back up the deadline for including items on the agenda. Harness asked how the topic to be discussed is to be known by anyone else in the room other than the person requesting. It allows for items to be used, not to

say that is the case, but it allows for one person to take advantage of the situation. Grinstead asked that Harness just state what the issue is that we are addressing. Harness stated that Grinstead had three items added last month. Discussion of these items that were added, Full Strut Media Contract, Cross Bar Projects, Red Dirt Concert Budget. Harness stated that the agenda topics were not adhered to as the discussion went beyond the concert budget and included contracting out the concert not discussing the budget and it should not have been discussed in the room because it did not meet the guidelines of the agenda item. Jarman interrupted the discussion and advised that we move forward sticking to this agenda item and not discuss what has happened in the past. Grinstead stated that he is wanting to understand how he needs to prepare his agenda items in the future. Oakley, does this concern citizens wanting to address the council. Suther stated that it would apply to them as well. Oakley asked why it would apply if they just want to address the council, it would give us time to hear what they have to say. Suther stated that it would be at the City Manager's administrative discretion. Harness stated that if a citizen contacts us now on a Friday, chances are, they are not going to be added. Suther added that if someone calls wanting to be on the agenda, they are first asked if they have addressed the issue with the City Manager because if the City Manager has not had the opportunity to address the issue with them, that is the starting point for them. On Friday, if I don't already know about it, it's hard to make it onto the agenda. We have had requests on a Friday, but it is rare. If the agenda is posted, it has to be a very critical issue to get it amended and reposted. Oakley stated that he would like more time to consider this and have all members present. Agreement to table until March for all five members to discuss.

Agenda Item #10: Discussion and possible action to approve bnb Industries Inc pay application #2 in the amount of \$239,683.10 for the wastewater treatment plan improvements for CDBG grant #19158 CDBG 23.

- Motion made by Grinstead to approve pay application #2 in the amount of \$239,683.10 for the wastewater treatment plan improvements for CDBG grant #19158 CDBG 23. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Susan Suther, City Clerk/Treasurer, presented second pay application request for the CDBG grant funded portion of the WWTP project. This request includes an increased amount for stored materials as the solids dewatering tanks have been delivered.

Agenda Item #11: Consideration and possible action to approve application to OkMRF for a partial lump sum withdrawal by Bert Curtis, Jr.

- Motion by Jones to approve the OkMRF application for Bert Curtis, Jr. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Suther presented the application by Bert Curtis, Jr. who recently retired after many years of service. It includes a partial lump sum withdrawal which requires council approval.

Agenda Item #12: Consideration and possible action to approve the purchase of Axon Body Cams and accessories in the amount of \$65,423.80 for the Police Department.

- Motion made by Bumgarner to approve the purchase. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Presentation of request to purchase the body cameras made by Dee Gregory, Police Chief. These were budgeted for purchase in this fiscal year, the demo was completed and the department really likes these cameras. Bumgarner asked if there were enough cameras for each officer, including reserve officers. Gregory confirmed that there are enough for all full time and reserve officers, the ACO and a SRO if that position is filled.

Agenda Item #13: Consideration and possible action to nominate up to two candidates for election to the OMAG Board of Trustees.

- No action taken.

- Presentation of opportunity to nominate an appointed or elected official to serve on the OMAG Board of Trustees. No volunteers. Discussion of Davis not ever having anyone serve on this board.

Agenda Item #14: City Manager's Report

- Police Department – Gregory provided a report in the council packet. He asked if there were any questions and provided a training update. Animal Control report also included in the packet, Gregory asked if there were any questions. Code Enforcement Report also included in the packet, he added that three issues did not make the report because they were resolved by making phone calls.
- Fire Department – Danny Cox, Fire Chief, provided a report in the packet. He asked if there were any questions. Bumgarner asked if the four structure fires were in Davis. Cox confirmed they were not all in the City limits but they were in the Davis Fire District. Bumgarner asked if the volunteer roster is full, Cox reported two openings.
- Harness provided a maintenance log report to the council. There is now a work order with AT&T for their line that is running through our sewer line on S. 10th Street. They have 1-8 days to respond, this does not mean repair, but it is a step in the right direction. Bumgarner asked about the manhole on 6th Street. Harness moved into the 6th Street project update. The contractor, Ron Gillihan, is not satisfied with the quality of the driving surface. As of now, he intends to mill off the two-inch driving surface and reapply it. The manhole will need to be raised for this application. Bumgarner asked if it would be the whole street. Harness concerned that he would mill off the driving surface for the whole street, the concern is longevity and potholes. Chickasaw Personal Communications is working to relocate the comms from the Fire Station back to the Water Tower, this will take a few more days to complete. Harness reviewed the Spring Clean Up plans, which will compliment the Great American Clean Up event and last for the whole month of April. There will be a cleanup contest by Ward for junk and debris cleanup with roll-offs placed at the convenience site specifically for each Ward. Our limb and brush cleanup will also happen, the roadside cleanup and a celebration at Fullerton Park to award the winners. The winner of the logo competition by elementary school students will also be awarded. Harness reported that he has hired Mark King as a Public Works Director. He will be bringing 30 years of experience from Colorado and he has recently relocated to the Ardmore area. He will begin his duties on Tuesday, February 18th. Grinstead asked if he holds his water/sewer license. Harness stated that he holds a C license in Colorado and they will attempt to transfer the license to Oklahoma. Bumgarner asked if he will be a Water Plant operator. Harness replied that he is working the comparison information on the cost of hiring operators vs. contracting to Wright Water, he intends to share this information soon. Bumgarner asked what license is required to operate our plants. Harness replied that a B license is required. Grinstead asked if OMMS would have a licensed person that could bridge the gap until we can staff licensed operators for the Water Treatment Plant and the Wastewater Treatment Plant. Harness replied that he is working on it.

Agenda Item #15: City Treasurer Report

- Suther provided a Treasurer Report in the packet. Review of loan balances, construction loan advances to date for the WWTP project and interest income received in 2024. She asked that these account balances be considered when they are having their discussion in executive session.

Agenda Item #16: Davis Chamber of Commerce Report

- Lauren Payme, Executive Director, provided a report in the council packet. She reviewed the changes of general meetings to one time per month beginning in April. Review of upcoming training opportunities through SOTEC at our chamber, monthly morning coffee will begin soon, INCA will also be doing a community needs assessment. Review of 2025 event dates.

Agenda Item #17: New Business: Discussion concerning any matter not known which could not have been foreseen prior to the posting of this agenda.

- No new business.

Agenda Item #18: Adjourn

- Motion to adjourn made by Grinstead. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent. Time: 7:30 PM

ATTEST:

/s/Susan Suther
Susan Suther
City Clerk/Treasurer

/s/Stan Jones
Stan Jones
Vice Mayor

Davis Municipal Authority

February 10, 2025, 6:00 PM
Davis City Council Chambers
308 S 3rd St
Davis, OK 73030

Agenda Item #1: Call to order Davis Municipal Authority.

- Meeting called to order by Vice Chairman Stan Jones. Time: 7:30 PM

Agenda Item #2: Roll Call

- Chairman Brian Davis – absent; Vice Chairman Stan Jones – present; Trustee Josh Oakley – present; Trustee Clint Grinstead – present; Trustee Jeremy Bumgarner – present.

Agenda Item #3: Consideration and possible action to approve Consent Agenda.

- a. Minutes of prior meetings. Motion to approve made by Oakley. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- b. Claims. Motion to approve made by Oakley. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.

Agenda Item #4: Consideration and possible action to approve items removed from Consent Agenda.

- No items removed from the consent agenda.

Agenda Item #5: Consideration and possible action to Parkhill's Task Order #7 Turner Falls Waterline Recommendation for Award of Construction Contract to B&H Construction, LLC including Base Bid Proposal and Additive Alternate No. 1 for a total Contract amount of \$307,368.00 with a Change Order to remove Bid Item 2 to replace with Additive Alternate for a new total Contract amount of \$188,968.00.

- Motion made by Jones to approve the recommendation to award the Turner Falls Waterline Project contract to B&H Construction, LLC including Base Bid Proposal and Additive Alternate No. 1 for a total Contract amount of \$307,368.00 with a Change Order to remove Bid Item #2 to replace with Additive Alternate for a new total Contract amount of \$188,968.00. Second by Grinstead. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.
- Ricky Harness, City Manager, presented the recommendation. The original design required PVC material, at the bidders conference the bidders asked to use a different material. Bids were received with both materials quoted. Discussion of the project location and water service extension. Grinstead asked if the project was budgeted. Harness confirmed that it was budgeted for \$250,000.

Agenda Item #6: Consideration and possible action to enter into executive session pursuant to 25 O.S. § 307 (B)(3) to discuss the purchase or appraisal of real property.

- Motion made by Grinstead to enter into executive session. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent. Time: 7:38 PM

Agenda Item #7: Consideration and possible action to return from executive session.

- Motion made by Oakley to return from executive session. Second by Bumgarner. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent. Time: 8:26

Agenda Item #8: Presentation of executive session minutes by City Attorney to City Clerk/Treasurer.

- Executive Session minutes presented to City Clerk/Treasurer by City Attorney.

Agenda Item #9: Consideration and possible action regarding item discussed in Executive Session.

- Motion made by Grinstead to authorize the City Manager to inspect and assess the property for a possible appraisal. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent.

Agenda Item #10: Legal review of assignment of lease from The Oklahoma District Council of the Assemblies of God of the State of Oklahoma to The Baptist General Convention of the State of Oklahoma.

- Kristin Jarman, City Attorney, reviewed the assignment of lease from The Oklahoma District Council of the Assemblies of God of the State of Oklahoma to The Baptist General Convention of the State of Oklahoma. DMA was tasked to review the assignment of lease, but it did not happen. The assignment was completed without review or authorization. No action is required. This lease provides no clear benefit to DMA. Jarman gave a reminder to the board of their fiduciary duty. Grinstead asked if the assignment is just a change to a new name. Jarman stated that it is a change of entity, the assignment does not change our obligations and/or duties. There are obligations and duties the entity to this lease is required to uphold. Harness added that the Murray County Assessor has no record of this lease. It appears to include property where the ropes course is located, where the church camp runs up to the crosses. We do have mountain bike trails planned for future development in that area. Jarman clarified that there was no record of this lease. Harness stated that we have no record of the original lease at City Hall. Jarman stated she was just clarifying there are no docs for original lease. Jones asked if anyone had any questions. There were no questions.

Agenda Item #11: Update by Representative from Midground Creators on the current physical year approved capital items for Cross Bar Ranch.

- Justin Ramsey, Midground Creators, LLC, provided a written update on capital items for Cross Bar Ranch. He reviewed the Starlink communications enhancements that have been completed, the plans to remodel the Ranch House, back section, a contractor has been selected and working with a local vendor on the materials to be purchased so a PO can be issued by the City. Working with a local vendor on the signage, which will be bilingual. The Bunkhouse improvements will be done by the same contractor as the Ranch House remodel and he will be working with the same local vendor for materials. Harness reported that the shower house project has been delayed by a publication error in the bid advertisement. It has been submitted again for publication with bid opening date set for early March. There was some discussion on the limits for projects that require public bid advertisement. Grinstead asked about the Bunkhouse which led to a discussion of the amenities in place and future plans. Discussed the proximity of the shower house to the Bunkhouses. Ramsey began to share about upcoming events for 2025. Jarman interrupted and stated that the discussion of events did not comply with the agenda item as it is written. Grinstead asked Ramsey if he purchased the millings as he had previously planned. Ramsey is looking to revisit these with the next budget planning, he touched base with the contractor but did not go any further with getting those. Grinstead asked if the main portion of the Ranch House needed attention. Ramsey replied that his current project is only addressing the back section, he would like to do some work in the kitchen and some “sprucing up”. The East and South sides of the home need roof attention. There are plumbing issues in the kitchen, but the bathroom is all good. The goal is to make it a three-bedroom Airbnb for an additional revenue stream. Grinstead asked if the roof was included in the budgeted items. Ramsey replied that it was added

later and a new metal roof over the existing house is not happening this year. Grinstead confirmed that the budget for the project is staying the same. Grinstead asked about the size of the Bunkhouse. Ramsey stated it is a 10 x20 or 10 x 24. There was additional discussion of dimensions. Grinstead asked about the roads and any work that has been or is being done. Jarman interrupted that this did not fit into the scope of the agenda item. There was a discussion of the budget amount of \$95,000 for the current fiscal year.

Agenda Item #12: WWTP Construction Project Report.

- Harness reported that the pumps and the muffin monster have been commissioned. We have kept our other pumps as a back up plan. Removing the final stages of the previous sewer plant. Everything is working well, and progress continues. A tour of the operations building has been completed, windows, trim and fixtures are still to be installed. The current timeline is on target, no major change orders that have not been handled by the budgeted project contingency. Still on track to turn over to City operations the latter part of this Summer.

Agenda Item #13: New Business: Discussion concerning any matter not known which could not have been foreseen prior to the posting of this agenda.

- No new business.

Agenda Item #14: Adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn made by Bumgarner. Second by Oakley. Vote: Bumgarner – yes; Grinstead – yes; Oakley – yes; Jones – yes; Davis – absent. Time: 8:59 PM

ATTEST:

/s/Susan Suther

Susan Suther
City Clerk/Treasurer

/s/Stan Jones

Stan Jones
Vice Chairman